

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/663,488	09/16/2003	Joseph P. Errico	F-291	2425	
530 75	590 10/18/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,			PELLEGRINO, BRIAN E		
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			3738		
			DATE MAILED: 10/18/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• •	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summers	10/663,488	ERRICO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian E. Pellegrino	3738
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	L. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Au</u> 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	
a material and a face mark a mice		

Application/Control Number: 10/663,488

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Young et al. (6190414). Fig. 10 shows an intervertebral spacer device with first and second baseplates (158,160) that are mounted to one another via joint 162. It can also be seen the baseplates include a plurality of engagement holes (170,172) in a perimetrical region that has a single post 140 positioned within the holes of the plates. The examiner is interpreting the claimed element "engagement hole" in this way: a cavity in something solid or an opening. Claims in a pending application should be given their broadest reasonable interpretation. In re Pearson, 181 USPQ 641 (CCPA 1974). See also In re Morris, Fed. Cir. 1997 127 F3d 1048, 1054,1055. Please note the intended use, as set forth in the claims, carries no weight in the absence of any distinguishing structure. Thus the spinal device is fully capable of having the desired surgical approach aspects. It can be seen that the post has a first position with a significant portion of the post within the tool and when cranked up to engage the plates to a second position, the post extends outward from the distal end to dispose within the engagement holes of the plates.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,488 Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/7/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Young's device does not have the post within the tool. However, the Examiner would like to refer Applicants to Figs. 6 & 7 that illustrate that a significant portion of the post is clearly positioned within the tool before jacking up the plates of the implant. During the procedure the post is then jacked to extend outward in a second final position to engage the plates. Applicant also argues that only a first end of the post engages the engagement hole of the plate and Young does not show this. It is the Examiner's position that Young does indeed disclose this limitation because the post has one end engaging the plate and the other end is within a gear cylinder.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. EP (122903) discloses a tool with a slidable pin to insert a prosthesis having two plates.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian E. Pellegrino whose telephone number is 571-272-4756. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7am to 4:30pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott, can be reached at 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TC 3700, AU 3738

BRIAN E. PELLEGRINO
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Suar 9 (Weyner)

Page 4